



**Madison Brame**

---

**From:** Adam Miloser <adam.miloser@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 12, 2026 5:38 PM  
**To:** IRRC  
**Subject:** Opposition to Proposed 28 Pa. Code §27.60 — Protect Our Medical Privacy religious and health Freedom

**CAUTION: \*\*EXTERNAL SENDER\*\*** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to strongly oppose the proposed changes to **28 Pa. Code §27.60**, particularly provisions that would expand the authority of the Department of Health and local health authorities to enter private locations during investigations and obtain access to confidential patient medical records, including electronic copies.

My medical records are private. My family's medical decisions are private. The government should not have broad authority to enter private spaces or access highly sensitive medical information simply because it believes doing so is necessary for an investigation.

We have already experienced what happens when government authority over public health expands dramatically. During COVID, schools closed, children were masked, businesses were restricted, quarantine and contact-tracing measures affected families, and government decisions reached deeply into everyday life. Regardless of where someone stood on those policies, one lesson should be clear:

**Government emergency powers need clear boundaries.**

We should not respond to that experience by creating broader mechanisms for government access to our private medical information.

I am particularly concerned about the proposed authority to confidentially review patient medical records and request electronic copies. Medical information can reveal extraordinarily personal details about an individual and their family. Giving government agencies expanded access to that information should require an extremely high standard, strict limitations, meaningful oversight, and genuine due-process protections.

This is not about being against public health. It is about recognizing that **public health authority must have limits.**

I also oppose removing existing protections for religious or spiritual treatment based on a government determination of what constitutes "evidence-based" treatment. Americans should not have to surrender their religious convictions or personal medical autonomy to government officials in order to exercise their fundamental freedoms.

**You work for us.**

You were elected to represent the people of Pennsylvania—not to expand government surveillance into our private lives or give government agencies unnecessary access to our medical records.

Please oppose the portions of this proposal that expand government access to private locations and confidential medical records, and insist upon strong protections for medical privacy, informed consent, religious liberty, due process, and individual autonomy.

**Stay out of our private medical decisions. Protect our medical privacy. Put meaningful limits on government authority.**

**Don't Tread on Me.**

Respectfully,

Adam Miloser